## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/046,042	MOK, STEVEN SIONG CHEAK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VIRGIL HERRING	2132		

	VII COLE FILITATIO	2102		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pendos:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,135(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended with under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally role	ated alaims		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amandment (	PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (	102-324).	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).		•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other:				
/Gilberto Barron Jr/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2132				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner thanks the applicant for clarification on where the disputed limitation may be found in the specification. However, the rejection under 35 USC §112 regarding written description is still proper, because the claim specifies that the replacement identifier is written to the transponder "after each authorization". In contrast, the specification indicates that a new identifier is only written after positive authorization, rather than every authorization.